

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/928,396	08/14/2001	Yoshinobu Izawa	MAR74 001	6305	
75	590 04/10/2003				
DUANE MORRIS LLP			EXAMINER		
1667 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 700			HRUSKOCI, PETER A		
WASHINGTON,, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_()				
		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/928,396		IZAWA, YOSHIN	OBU ^ℓ				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Peter A. Hrusk		1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, he within the statutory of will apply and will exp cause the applicatio	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 March 2002</u> .								
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non	-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
• <u> </u>	ion of Claims								
	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	siccion icquire	ment.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen	·	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/928,396 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6 and 9-14, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 210, subclass 242.1.
- II. Claims 7 and 8, drawn to a method, classified in class 210, subclass 702.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used in a materially different method such as a method for recovering hydrocarbons from emulsions.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with L. Lawton Rogers on 3-28-03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6 and 9-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office

Application/Control Number: 09/928,396 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

action. Claims 7 and 8 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page 17 line 13 "dose" is erroneous and should be changed to does -.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. Claims 1-6 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 9 are considered incomplete because it is essential that the upper chamber include the raw water inlet and treated water outlet, and that the lower chamber include a water inlet and outlet, and that the upper chamber be capable of treating the water to form treated water and a sludge. In claim 6 "the treatment", "the treated water and sludge separating", "the timing of the steps of the raw water treatment", and "the treatment of the raw water" lack clear antecedent basis. In claim 9 "plated" and "an removing" appear to be erroneous and should be changed to plate and and removing -, respectively. Claims 2-5 and 10-14 depend from the above claims.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/928,396 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Monnet. It is submitted that Monnet disclose (see col. 2 line 10 through col. 3 line 45) the structure of the apparatus recited in the instant claim.
- 9. Claims 9 properly written to overcome the above 35 USC 112 rejection would be allowable. Claim 1 properly written to overcome the above 35 USC 112 rejection and to include claim 2 or 14 would be allowable.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (703) 308-3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Simmons, can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 (non-after finals) and 703-872-9311 after finals.

Peter A. Hruskoci Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

P. Hruskoci April 4, 2003